

Revelation 3 Part 3

Table Revelation 3:14-22

- 14 *And unto the angel of the church of the Laodiceans write; These things saith the Amen, the faithful and true witness, the beginning of the creation of God;*
- 15 *I know thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot: I would thou wert cold or hot.*
- 16 *So then because thou art lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot, I will spue thee out of my mouth.*
- 17 *Because thou sayest, I am rich, and increased with goods, and have need of nothing; and knowest not that thou art wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked:*
- 18 *I counsel thee to buy of me gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich; and white raiment, that thou mayest be clothed, and that the shame of thy nakedness do not appear; and anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see.*
- 19 *As many as I love, I rebuke and chasten: be zealous therefore, and repent.*
- 20 *Behold, I stand at the door, and knock: if any man hear my voice, and open the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him, and he with me.*
- 21 *To him that overcometh will I grant to sit with me in my throne, even as I also overcame, and am set down with my Father in his throne.*
- 22 *He that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches.*

See Dr Ruckman's commentary *The Book of Revelation* pp 91-103 and *the Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 1554, 1623. See also for an informative overview of Laodicea with Bible believers' responses the attached studies:

Pure vs. Corrupt Manuscript Ascension – Bro. Al Cuppett's Vision

The Great Bible Robbery Figures

Conclusions from Table 1

Fundamental Errors www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ 'O Biblios' – *The Book* pp 83-87

www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ Acts 8v37 - Why this SHOULD be in the Bible!

...1 Corinthians 11:24... "Take, eat...broken"... extract from *The 1611 Holy Bible Cleanses Fundamental Evangelical Modern Version Falsehood* pp 50-51 www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php

Hebrews 9 Part 2 extract from p 4 www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/

"*The Royal Law*" James 2:8

Seven Aspects of 'in the Greek'

The 1611 Holy Bible and Churchillian Declaration to Unrighteous Men

The above studies highlight the stark contrast between the Philadelphian and Laodicean stances towards the final authority with respect to what God said and where God's words are in "**words easy to be understood**" 1 Corinthians 14:9.

The Philadelphian stance is that the final authority with respect to what God said and where God's words are in "**words easy to be understood**" is and always will be the 1611 Holy Bible "**the book of the LORD**" Isaiah 34:16 "**the scripture of truth**" Daniel 10:21 "**the royal law**" James 2:8 and "**All scripture**" that "**is given by inspiration of God**" 2 Timothy 3:16 in the certain belief that no other book is.

The Laodicean stance is that *no* extant book is the final authority with respect to what God said and where God's words are in "**words easy to be understood**." The Laodicean stance on the final author-

ity with respect to what God said and where God's words are is merely "...words which man's wisdom teacheth" 1 Corinthians 2:13 which may or may not be in "words easy to be understood." More than likely they will be words "in the Greek" from "the bottomless pit" Revelation 9:11 in violation of "the royal law" James 2:8 and the priesthood of all believers "an holy priesthood...a royal priesthood" 1 Peter 2:5, 9. See "The Royal Law" James 2:8 and Seven Aspects of 'in the Greek' attached studies and **The Greek versus the Scripture** Revelation Overview Attached Studies pp 52-55.

Today's believer's stance against Laodicea should be that of Paul in Galatians 2:5 "To whom we gave place by subjection, no, not for an hour; that the truth of the gospel might continue with you." See **The 1611 Holy Bible and Churchillian Declaration to Unrighteous Men.**

1. **Revelation 3:14.** Note that Laodicea is the only one of the seven churches referred to as a collection of individuals according to the expression "the church of the Laodiceans." The reason appears to be that as will be seen Laodicea is described *spiritually with respect to* "the book of the LORD" Isaiah 34:16 like ancient Israel by Judges 21:25 "In those days there was no king in Israel: every man did that which was right in his own eyes."

Unlike Philadelphia Laodicea has *no king* with respect to "the word of a king" Ecclesiastes 8:4.

The Lord Jesus Christ is "the Amen, the faithful and true witness, the beginning of the creation of God" as these scriptures show.

1 Kings 1:36 "And Benaiah the son of Jehoiada answered the king, and said, Amen: the LORD God of my lord the king say so too"

Proverbs 8:1, 6-8 "Doth not wisdom cry?...Hear; for I will speak of excellent things; and the opening of my lips shall be right things. For my mouth shall speak truth; and wickedness is an abomination to my lips. All the words of my mouth are in righteousness; there is nothing froward or perverse in them"

1 Timothy 6:13-14 "I give thee charge in the sight of God, who quickeneth all things, and before Christ Jesus, who before Pontius Pilate witnessed a good confession; That thou keep this commandment without spot, unrebukeable, until the appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ"

Colossians 1:16-17 "For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him: And he is before all things, and by him all things consist."

Today's believer should therefore seek to abide by Psalm 109:30 "I will greatly praise the LORD with my mouth; yea, I will praise him among the multitude."

2. **Revelation 3:15.** "I know thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot: I would thou wert cold or hot" is a precise evaluation of the Laodicean stance on final authority that the Lord abominates because a perusal of the attached studies that depict the Laodicean stance on final authority reveals that *today's Christian fundamentalists* profess to have "the word of God" 1 Samuel 9:27, 1 Kings 12:22, 1 Chronicles 17:3, Mark 7:13, Luke 3:2, 5:1, 8:11, 21, 11:28, John 10:35, Acts 4:31, 6:2, 7, 8:14, 11:1, 12:24, 13:5, 7, 44, 46, 17:13, 18:11, 19:20, Romans 9:6, 10:17, 1 Corinthians 14:26, 2 Corinthians 2:17, 4:2, Ephesians 6:17, Colossians 1:25, 1 Thessalonians 2:13 twice, 1 Timothy 4:5, 2 Timothy 2:9, Titus 2:5, Hebrews 4:12, 11:3, 13:7, 1 Peter 1:23, 2 Peter 3:5, 1 John 2:14, Revelation 1:2, 9, 6:9, 19:13, 20:4, 46 references.

However *their works* consist of *attacking it* and *denying it*.

Paul describes the stance of *today's Christian fundamentalists* with respect to their ambivalence towards "the word of God" and how the Lord abominates it in Titus 1:14 "They profess that they know God; but in works they deny him, being abominable, and disobedient, and unto every good work reprobate."

Today's believer should therefore avoid the rebuke of Revelation 3:15 by following King David with respect to *prompt submission* to **"the word of God."**

"I thought on my ways, and turned my feet unto thy testimonies. I made haste, and delayed not to keep thy commandments" Psalm 119:59-60.

3. Revelation 3:16. The rebuke **"So then because thou art lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot, I will spue thee out of my mouth"** is unequivocal. The Lord *rejects* Laodicean *ministry and worship* that stems from Laodicean *ambivalence* to **"the word of God."**

King Solomon describes it succinctly. **"He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination"** Proverbs 28:9.

Today's believer should therefore again follow King David, especially with respect to Laodicean Greekolators hankering after **"being lords over God's heritage"** 1 Peter 5:3 by violating the priesthood of all believers.

"Princes also did sit and speak against me; but thy servant did meditate in thy statutes. Thy testimonies also are my delight and my counsellors" Psalm 119:23-24.

4. Revelation 3:17. Revelation 3:17 indicates that the Laodiceans forgot these scriptures that today's believer should always remember.

1 Samuel 16:7 **"But the LORD said unto Samuel, Look not on his countenance, or on the height of his stature; because I have refused him: for the LORD seeth not as man seeth; for man looketh on the outward appearance, but the LORD looketh on the heart"**

Jeremiah 9:23-24 **"Thus saith the LORD, Let not the wise man glory in his wisdom, neither let the mighty man glory in his might, let not the rich man glory in his riches: But let him that glorieth glory in this, that he understandeth and knoweth me, that I am the LORD which exercise lovingkindness, judgment, and righteousness, in the earth: for in these things I delight, saith the LORD"**

James 4:13-16 **"Go to now, ye that say, To day or to morrow we will go into such a city, and continue there a year, and buy and sell, and get gain: Whereas ye know not what shall be on the morrow. For what is your life? It is even a vapour, that appeareth for a little time, and then vanisheth away. For that ye ought to say, If the Lord will, we shall live, and do this, or that. But now ye rejoice in your boastings: all such rejoicing is evil."**

In turn the Laodiceans were

"wretched" because they knew not Ephesians 5:17 **"Wherefore be ye not unwise, but understanding what the will of the Lord is"**

"miserable" because they knew not Nehemiah 8:10 **"...this day is holy unto our Lord: neither be ye sorry; for the joy of the LORD is your strength"**

"poor" because they knew not James 2:5 **"Hearken, my beloved brethren, Hath not God chosen the poor of this world rich in faith, and heirs of the kingdom which he hath promised to them that love him?"**

"blind" because they knew not Ephesians 1:18 **"The eyes of your understanding being enlightened; that ye may know what is the hope of his calling, and what the riches of the glory of his inheritance in the saints"**

"naked" because they knew not Isaiah 64:6 **"But we are all as an unclean thing, and all our righteousnesses are as filthy rags; and we all do fade as a leaf; and our iniquities, like the wind, have taken us away"**.

5. Revelation 3:18. The Lord exhorts each of the Laodiceans *individually* to “buy of me” which must refer to the *cost* of following the Lord for *any* saint according to Luke 9:23 “And he said to them all, If any man will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross daily, and follow me.”

That exhortation is according to the mind-set of Paul who did not want to be where “Peter followed him afar off” Matthew 26:58 but instead exhorts others in turn in Philippians 3:7-8, 17 “But what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ. Yea doubtless, and I count all things but loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them but dung, that I may win Christ...Brethren, be followers together of me, and mark them which walk so as ye have us for an ensample.”

With reference to associated remarks under Revelation 3:17:

“gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich” which *won't* perish for any saint is as Job and Peter state with respect to being “rich in faith” James 2:5 *even though today's believer has “faith”* as one of “the fruit of the Spirit” Galatians 5:22.

Job 23:10 “But he knoweth the way that I take: when he hath tried me, I shall come forth as gold”

1 Peter 1:6-7 “Wherein ye greatly rejoice, though now for a season, if need be, ye are in heaviness through manifold temptations: that the trial of your faith, being much more precious than of gold that perisheth, though it be tried with fire, might be found unto praise and honour and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ.”

“white raiment, that thou mayest be clothed” is as Paul exhorts with respect to:

Ephesians 2:10, 4:12 “For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them...For the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ”

Hebrews 6:10 “For God is not unrighteous to forget your work and labour of love, which ye have shewed toward his name, in that ye have ministered to the saints, and do minister.”

“...anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see” is an exhortation to pray as King David does as any saint *must* to fulfil 2 Timothy 2:15 “Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth”:

Psalms 119:18 “Open thou mine eyes, that I may behold wondrous things out of thy law.”

6. Revelation 3:19. Revelation 3:19 impinges on these key scriptures that today's believer needs as much as the Laodiceans or any saint.

Job 5:17 “Behold, happy is the man whom God correcteth: therefore despise not thou the chastening of the Almighty”

Proverbs 3:11-12 “My son, despise not the chastening of the LORD; neither be weary of his correction: For whom the LORD loveth he correcteth; even as a father the son in whom he delighteth”

1 Corinthians 15:34 “Awake to righteousness, and sin not; for some have not the knowledge of God: I speak this to your shame”

Hebrews 12:5-6 “And ye have forgotten the exhortation which speaketh unto you as unto children, My son, despise not thou the chastening of the Lord, nor faint when thou art rebuked of him: For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth.”

7. **Revelation 3:20.** Revelation 3:20 is often used legitimately in a devotional, evangelical, practical, spiritual sense as a scripture in gospel presentation in order to illustrate John 1:12 **“But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name”**. Its more prophetic application to the Church Age and the End Times is as follows.

Note first that Revelation 3:20 effectively closes off the Church Age that began with the apostolic era after the Ascension with Revelation 2-3 signposting the approach of the Lord’s Return where He does return in Revelation 3:20.

Revelation 2:5 **“I will come unto thee quickly”** - Ephesus-Smyrna, on the way

Revelation 2:16 **“I will come unto thee quickly”** - Smyrna-Pergamos, on the way

Revelation 2:25 **“that which ye have already hold fast till I come”** – Thyatira, just hang on

Revelation 3:3 **“I will come on thee as a thief, and thou shalt not know what hour I will come upon thee”** – Sardis, near enough to intercept

Revelation 3:11 **“Behold, I come quickly: hold that fast which thou hast”** – Philadelphia, have visual, hang on a bit longer

Revelation 3:20 **“Behold, I stand at the door, and knock”** – the Laodiceans, both visual and door-stepped

The characteristics of the **“the church of the Laodiceans”** show that the Lord’s Return is very near especially if Church Age application can be made to these disclosures from the Lord Himself in the context of the Second Advent.

Matthew 24:33 **“So likewise ye, when ye shall see all these things, know that it is near, even at the doors”**

Mark 13:29 **“So ye in like manner, when ye shall see these things come to pass, know that it is nigh, even at the doors.”**

It has been noted from Revelation 3:20 that **“the church of the Laodiceans”** Revelation 3:14 is the only one of the seven churches that is associated with a *building*. Today’s believer therefore should take note of the Lord’s prophetic warning in Matthew 24:1-2 **“And Jesus went out, and departed from the temple: and his disciples came to him for to shew him the buildings of the temple. And Jesus said unto them, See ye not all these things? verily I say unto you, There shall not be left here one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down.”**

It has also been noted that upon His Return the Lord has effectively been excluded from His church so that, being courteous as indeed He is with the individual when Revelation 3:20 is used in an evangelical sense, He has to *knock* to gain entrance *with believers who are clearly not glad to see Him*.

That is *not* the case with *faithful* believers of whom today’s believer should be one as John shows in John 20:19-20 **“Then the same day at evening, being the first day of the week, when the doors were shut where the disciples were assembled for fear of the Jews, came Jesus and stood in the midst, and saith unto them, Peace be unto you. And when he had so said, he shewed unto them his hands and his side. Then were the disciples glad, when they saw the Lord.”**

That is also *not* the case at the Second Advent when **“...the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the LORD of hosts”** Malachi 3:1.

The Lord’s sudden appearance in His temple is necessary to overthrow the imposter and usurper **“...that man of sin...the son of perdition; Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing him-**

self that he is God...whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming” 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4, 8.

Revelation 3:20 shows nevertheless that the Lord invites *all* willing saints to feasting and fellowship after the manner of Proverbs 8:1, 9:5-6 **“Doth not wisdom cry? and understanding put forth her voice?...Come, eat of my bread, and drink of the wine which I have mingled. Forsake the foolish, and live; and go in the way of understanding.”**

Of those who either decline or accept the invitation King Solomon states **“All the days of the afflicted are evil: but he that is of a merry heart hath a continual feast”** Proverbs 15:15.

8. **Revelation 3:21.** See www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ *Revelation Overview* pp 20, 38, 56-57 on Revelation 2:11, 26-27, 3:5 noting especially this extract for the faithful End Times saint insofar as Paul testifies **“Knowing that Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more; death hath no more dominion over him”** Romans 6:9 and the Lord Himself declares **“I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death”** Revelation 1:18.

Revelation 3:5 for the End Times saint is the Lord’s promise that matches Revelation 12:11, 14:13 **“And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death...And I heard a voice from heaven saying unto me, Write, Blessed are the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth: Yea, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their labours; and their works do follow them”** **“the death”** being **“...beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God”** Revelation 20:4.

Revelation 3:21 therefore has its fulfilment with respect to the Lord Jesus Christ and His *steadfast* followers **“...for he is Lord of lords, and King of kings: and they that are with him are called, and chosen, and faithful”** Revelation 17:14 according to Revelation 11:15, 17-18 **“And the seventh angel sounded; and there were great voices in heaven, saying, The kingdoms of this world are become the kingdoms of our Lord, and of his Christ; and he shall reign for ever and ever...We give thee thanks, O Lord God Almighty, which art, and wast, and art to come; because thou hast taken to thee thy great power, and hast reigned. And the nations were angry, and thy wrath is come, and the time of the dead, that they should be judged, and that thou shouldest give reward unto thy servants the prophets, and to the saints, and them that fear thy name, small and great; and shouldest destroy them which destroy the earth.”**

9. **Revelation 3:22.** Note these remarks from www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ *Revelation Overview* pp 75-76, *Revelation 3 Part 2* pp 11-12.

Note again these remarks from www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ *Revelation Overview* p 57, *Revelation 2 Part 3* p 9.

Concerning Revelation 2:29 **“He that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches”** note again www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ *Revelation 2 Part 1* pp 4, 8 with respect to Revelation 2:7, 11 and *Revelation 2 Part 2* pp 1, 7 with respect to remarks under Revelation 2:12, 17.

Remember in closing therefore that Paul **“speaking by the Spirit of God”** 1 Corinthians 12:3 speaks according to **“what the Spirit saith unto the churches”** Revelation 2:7, 11, 17, 29, 3:6, 13, 22 when he declares *in principle* **“And so ordain I in all churches”** 1 Corinthians 7:17.

In addition remember King Solomon’s exhortation, as applied to **“the law of Christ”** Galatians 6:2 **“the royal law”** James 2:8.

“Hear, ye children, the instruction of a father, and attend to know understanding. For I give you good doctrine, forsake ye not my law” Proverbs 4:1-2.

In addition for Revelation 3:13 note the following passages in the spirit of Zechariah 1:13 **“And the LORD answered the angel that talked with me with good words and comfortable words.”**

The different colorations show how the Lord updated the Hebrew original into no doubt Aramaic **“words easy to be understood...to the edifying of the church”** 1 Corinthians 14:9, 12.

“The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he hath anointed me to preach the gospel to the poor; he hath sent me to heal the brokenhearted, to preach deliverance to the captives, and recovering of sight to the blind, to set at liberty them that are bruised, To preach the acceptable year of the Lord” Luke 4:18-19.

“The Spirit of the Lord GOD is upon me; because the LORD hath anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek; he hath sent me to bind up the brokenhearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound; To proclaim the acceptable year of the LORD...” Isaiah 61:1-2.

In addition for Revelation 3:22 today’s believer who may yet thereby be among **“These that have turned the world upside down”** Acts 17:6 should always trust in Acts 4:31 **“And when they had prayed, the place was shaken where they were assembled together; and they were all filled with the Holy Ghost, and they spake the word of God with boldness.”**

Conclusion

In accordance with Revelation 3:19 **“...be zealous therefore, and repent”**:



kjvbibles.com/kjv/05/kjv0530r.htm

Laodicea must understand what H. Grattan Guinness understood about where lukewarmness terminates from his book *Romanism and the Reformation* and return to **“...singleness of heart, fearing God”** Colossians 3:22.

“I see the great Apostacy, I see the desolation of Christendom, I see the smoking ruins, I see the reign of monsters; I see those vice-gods, that Gregory VII, that Innocent III, that Boniface VIII, that Alexander VI, that Gregory XIII, that Pius IX; I see their long succession, I hear their insufferable blasphemies, I see their abominable lives, I see them worshipped by blinded generations, bestowing hollow benedictions, bartering lying indulgences, creating a paganized Christianity; I see their liveried slaves, their shaven priests, their celibate confessors; I see the infamous confessional, the ruined women, the murdered innocents; I hear the lying absolutions, the dying groans; I hear the cries of

the victims; I hear the anathemas, the curses, the thunders of the interdicts; I see the racks, the dungeons, the stakes; I see that inhuman Inquisition, those fires of Smithfield, those butcheries of St Bartholomew, that Spanish Armada, those unspeakable dragonnades, that endless train of wars, that dreaded multitude of massacres. I see it all,

“And in the name of the ruin it has brought in the Church and in the world, in the name of the truth it has denied, the temple it has defiled, the God it has blasphemed, the souls it has destroyed; in the name of the millions it has deluded; the millions it has slaughtered, the millions it has damned,

“With holy confessors, with noble reformers, with innumerable martyrs, with the saints of ages, I denounce it as the masterpiece of Satan, as the body and soul and essence of antichrist.”

Amen! **“And in her was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth”** Revelation 18:24.

Study Questions and Sample Answers

Study Questions

1. What does the Lord think of **“the church of the Laodiceans”** Revelation 3:14?
2. According to what the Lord thinks of **“the church of the Laodiceans”** what might He think of the church today?
3. What can today’s Bible believer do about it?

Sample Answers

1. Concerning any Bible-believing presentation of the 1611 Holy Bible **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 **“the royal law”** James 2:8 and **“All scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 in the certain belief that no other book is the Lord’s prophecy through Ezekiel applies with respect to the Laodicean reaction.

“And they come unto thee as the people cometh, and they sit before thee as my people, and they hear thy words, but they will not do them: for with their mouth they shew much love, but their heart goeth after their covetousness. And, lo, thou art unto them as a very lovely song of one that hath a pleasant voice, and can play well on an instrument: for they hear thy words, but they do them not” Ezekiel 33:31-32 in violation of James 1:22 **“But be ye doers of the word, and not hearers only, deceiving your own selves.”**

2. Noting again the attached studies

Fundamental Errors www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ ‘O Biblios’ – The Book pp 83-87

Acts 8v37 - Why this SHOULD be in the Bible!

...1 Corinthians 11:24...“Take, eat...broken”... extract from *The 1611 Holy Bible Cleanses Fundamental Evangelical Modern Version Falsehood* pp 50-51 www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php

Paul prophesies incisively with respect to the chief fable of DIY versions derived from Catholic satanic post-1611 counterfeits and **“in the Greek”** Revelation 9:11 **“For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables”** 2 Timothy 4:3-4.

3. **“Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and doctrine...But watch thou in all things, endure afflictions, do the work of an evangelist, make full proof of thy ministry”** 2 Timothy 4:2, 5 i.e. **“Occupy till I come”** Luke 19:13.



See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Keep_Calm_and_Carry_On, www.wimp.com/keepcalm/.

Pure vs. Corrupt Manuscript Ascension – Bro. Al Cuppett’s Vision


“Where there is no vision, the people perish: but he that keepeth the law, happy is he”

Proverbs 29:18, www.kjvprophecy.com/Articles/BibleOrigins.pdf (Updated Chart)

Corrupt Manuscript Ascension – “Wild Vine”

Pure Manuscript Ascension

1604-1611, 7 Years



Al Cuppett alcuppett.wordpress.com/ Summary

(N.B. The former site with this material is now corrupt. The same information is on the above site)

Alexander B. Cuppett served as “Action Officer” with the Pentagon, Joint Chiefs of Staff (Department of Defense). While serving in official capacity, he was awarded both the Bronze Star and the Purple Heart. Cuppett also received the Secretary of Defense Civilian Service Medal upon his retirement in 1990 after 21 years of service in the United States Army. Mr. Cuppett gained notoriety for his public talks warning of the emergence of the New World Order in America and bringing attention to the alarming evidence that foreign troops and armaments were showing up in the USA. He was one of the first people to sound the alarm regarding the maintaining of Red and Blue Lists which would be used to round up people during a martial law scenario and bring attention to the construction of FEMA concentration camps. In the early 1990s Cuppett appeared on a speaking tour with the well-known TV program *The Prophecy Club* and gained fame with his talks on Black Ops and Bible prophecy, ultimately producing 2 video programs that were best sellers during that time period.



Al Cuppett US Army & Action Officer, the Joint Chiefs of Staff (Retired)

From Al Cuppett's website alcuppett.wordpress.com/2012/08/:

My advice: Get an old *Authorized King James Bible* and start praying to Jesus, because our time as free people is just about over. "*Am I therefore become your enemy because I tell you the truth?*" [Galatians 4:16]. (N.B. The site address has been changed)

Al Cuppett


More from Al Cuppett's website alcuppett.wordpress.com/page/5/, search for key words to find exact quote in situ: (N.B. The site address has been changed with format changes only to content)

Advice: Get yourself an old fashioned King James Bible [Authorized Version], permanently discarding all other bible versions, including the "numeric coded Greek and Hebrew" portions of the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, and start fasting and praying. The Holy Ghost cannot manifest faith, hope, peace, joy, etc, in your spirit in a language you cannot understand. You're gonna need guidance; and that right early saint! **READ THE LAST PARAGRAPH IF YOU READ NOTHING ELSE!!**

And if these Greek "scholars" ever admitted God Almighty gave us a perfect "*Psalm 12:6-7*" (KJV-only) Bible these educated morons would have to get an honest job!!! However, most of such "learned" people happen to be pastors in pulpits who "fleece their sheep" weekly. Just read about "*polluted bread*" in Malachi 1, verses 6 to about 12. But, hey, what do I know, I'm just a Railway Mail Clerk's son? I'll bet the Jesuit infiltrators at **Lee College** and **Central Bible College** will do a slow burn when they read this paragraph. So be it! They got to dear Brother Swaggart back in 1988. Are they gonna get you too? *Or have they gotten to you already?*

"For ever, O Lord thy word is settled in heaven". Psm 119:89 – KJV ONLY. So, if the bible you're using doesn't match what's "for ever settled" in heaven, you have a Jesuitic counterfeit. Thus, the Holy Spirit is exponentially bound, and the resultant spiritual vacuum of holiness/heaven sent power has been filled by evil in our churches AND OUR LAND, since about 1970. Therefore, the New World Order has come in "like a flood". Hence, the foreign troops! Get back to the KJV, the old blood washed hymns, discarding forever praise and worship, since you must wash by the "water of the word" WHICH IS THE KJV BIBLE-ONLY, before entering into the holy throne room of God. LOOK—! Doing praise and worship with ANY sin in your heart is an abomination! Praise and worship without pure repentance beforehand is an ignorant or perverted attempt to APPEASE God! THINK! David Wilkerson preached the precepts just above in the italicized print, in 1988, not me. He also says the angels cast this kind of [UNCLEAN] praise back on the earth as judgment!!

Wilkerson and Cuppett are right. "*He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination*" Proverbs 28:9 with Proverbs 29:18 above. Be encouraged, though:



The Great Bible Robbery Figures - "many...corrupt the word of God"
2 Corinthians 2:17

The Manuscript Pyramid, Dichotomy & Two Lines of Church History




Figure 1 The Manuscript Pyramid

from *Let's Weigh the Evidence* by Barry Burton, Chick Publications, 1983, p 57

Summary Notes on The Manuscript Pyramid

from *The Inheritance* No. 9 by J. Coad, Totnes, Devon

The pyramid of exactly 300 blocks represents the sum total of New Testament Greek manuscripts. The list at the time of writing (1990s) gives 96 papyrus mss., 299 uncial or upper case mss. and 2812 cursives or lower case mss.. Another 2281 mss. consist of lectionaries or responsive readings. Many of these are fragments and do not contain the entire New Testament. Each one, however, is a valuable testimony with respect to an overview of historical witnesses to the true text of scripture.

Each block represents approximately 10 mss.. The shaded portion represents the corrupt *Catholic* Codices Sinaiticus, Vaticanus and a few others. The textual critics, enemies of the AV1611, set aside the whole weight of the 95% mss. which have been the Church's Inheritance and guiding testimony for 1800 years in favour of the 5% corrupted and 'corrected' text. The NIV coming 100 years after the failure of the RV of Westcott and Hort shows identical OMISSIONS! *Note the fruits:*

The Manuscript Dichotomy – Two Lines of Bibles




Figure 2a Manuscript Dichotomy in Outline
 from *The Inheritance* No. 9 by J. Coad, Totnes, Devon

TWO DISTINCT LINES OF BIBLES from TWO DISTINCT SOURCES, God's and the Devil's!

The Manuscript Dichotomy – Two Lines of Bibles, continued




Figure 2b Manuscript Dichotomy in Detail

TWO DISTINCT CENTRES:

ANTIOCH where "the disciples were called Christians first" Acts 11:26

ALEXANDRIA in EGYPT "the iron furnace" Deuteronomy 4:20

From: **TWO LINES OF BIBLE MANUSCRIPTS**

One line descended from God's initial revelation of His Word to His Apostles & Prophets
The other descended from Satan's Apostles. See kjv.landmarkbiblebaptist.net/2texts-2.html.

Their respective fruits yield **TWO LINES OF CHURCH HISTORY:**

Two Lines of Church History – Antioch vs. Alexandria





Figure 3 Two Lines of Church History, Antioch vs. Alexandria

from *The Monarch of the Books* by Dr. Peter S. Ruckman p 6. “The time of reformation” Hebrews 9:10 via the AV1611 Text, “Destruction and misery” Romans 3:16 via Rome and Alexandria:

A Flood of Apostasy and Revision




Figure 4 A Flood of Apostasy and Revision

from *The Monarch of the Books* by Dr. Peter S. Ruckman p 22

"Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit... Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them" Matthew 7:17, 20. Enter the "evil fruit":

The NIV – Unmasked




Figure 5 The NIV Unmasked, End Times Spawn of the 1582 Jesuit Rheims NT...

Conclusions from Table 1

2017 Writer's Note: See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The Great Bible Robbery* pp 2-14, 'O Biblios' – *The Book* pp 69-80. **Table 1 Comparison of the AV1611, 1582 JR, NJB, 1984 NIV, 2011 NIV** follows Figures 1-5 in those works. The summary conclusions from **Table 1** follow.

1. **Table 1** lists 140 New Testament readings where the 1984 and 2011 NIVs agree with the 1582 Jesuit Rheims New Testament and the NJB *against* the AV1611.
2. The 'evangelical' NIV is a *Catholic* bible in its departures from the 1611 Holy Bible. The NJB omits 15 entire verses in the New Testament; Matthew 17:21, 18:11, 23:14, Mark 9:44, 46, 11:26, 15:28, Luke 17:36, 23:17, Acts 8:37, 15:34, 24:7 (slyly borrowing the words "I know that you have administered justice over this nation for many years, and I can therefore speak with confidence in my defence" from Acts 24:10 to make up the gap), 28:29, Romans 16:24, 1 John 5:7. The NIV omits all 15 verses and Mark 7:16, John 5:4, out-doing Rome!
3. The NIV is also a *Watchtower* bible. It matches the NWT (New World Translation, from Catholic mss.) in *all* 140 departures from the AV1611 and in omitting the 17 verses listed above. The NIV agrees with modern Catholic bibles, JB, NJB, NWT, in many more departures from the AV1611 than those of **Table 1** (as do the NKJV footnotes and often its text). See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *What is the Bible? AV1611 Overview* pp 41-48.
4. The NIV is the last apostate crop of "*evil fruit*" from the corrupt Alexandrian/papal tree, Matthew 7:17. Note that the 1984 NIV was updated to the 2011 NIV, which also replaces the 2005 TNIV, with changes in 12166 verses or 39% of the NIV text (18935 verses or 61% of the NIV's 31101 verses were unaltered), biblewebapp.com/niv2011-changes/#summary *NIV2011/NIV2010 Changes*. Yet no *important* changes away from the *Catholic* text were made. As Solomon warns "*A false balance is abomination to the LORD...*" Proverbs 11:1.
5. If it is thought that **Table 1** lists but a small part of the New Testament and may be disregarded, these scriptures say otherwise. "*Be admonished*" Ecclesiastes 4:13, therefore.

"Dead flies cause the ointment of the apothecary to send forth a stinking savour: so doth a little folly him that is in reputation for wisdom and honour" Ecclesiastes 10:1.

"Take us the foxes, the little foxes, that spoil the vines: for our vines have tender grapes" Song of Solomon 2:15.

"A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump" Galatians 5:9.

"For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all" James 2:10.

"Choose you this day whom ye will serve" Joshua 24:15, therefore, "**the Word of Life**" 1 John 1:1 or the God-robbers, the "**many, which corrupt the word of God**" 2 Corinthians 2:17.

8

Fundamental Errors

8.1 Introduction

I hope that the preceding chapters and the list of suggested essential references will provide an adequate summary of the Bible translation issue. However, it should come as no surprise that the material in those chapters proved to be an offence to some of the enemies of the 1611 Holy Bible. Academic theologians have voiced many criticisms of the Holy Bible. See Chapter 5 for some of the more common, general criticisms. In addition to these, I have received many more specific objections to the AV1611, aimed at subverting both the words of God and the faith of the ordinary Bible believer in these words. The following sections address these particular criticisms, which must be answered for at least three very good reasons.

1. I believe that the AV1611 is the true word of God and the FINAL AUTHORITY in ALL matters of faith and practice.
2. I believe that it should be restored to the minds and hearts of the British people, [indeed the English-speaking people](#), from whom it was stolen by Christian scholarship.
3. I believe that there is no issue more important than that of FINAL AUTHORITY in ALL matters of faith and practice. If the Christian cannot put his hand on ONE book and state unequivocally that *“this is my FINAL AUTHORITY,”* he has NO authority which he can read, preach, teach, believe and memorise, other than his own subjective opinion or ‘scholarship.’

Such ‘scholarship’ is a far cry from **“the words of the living God”**, Jeremiah 23:36, **“the word of his grace, which is able to build you up, and to give you an inheritance among all them which are sanctified”** Acts 20:32.

8.2 Academic Criticisms

I will therefore answer the detailed criticisms of the Holy Bible from the scholarly gentleman mentioned at the end of Chapter 7. These criticisms have been cited in ***bold Italic*** as received and are followed by detailed responses, point by point where necessary. Although I have referred to this gentleman as ‘our critic,’ singular, repeatedly in the text, I believe that his criticisms are typical of the genre. [His main criticisms are as follows.](#)

8.2.1 ***“You should become acquainted with the standard scholarly works”***

1. Who sets the standard and on what basis? It appears that the basis is to alter the AV1611 at all costs.
2. One’s time and financial resources are limited. I prefer to invest mine into what will reinforce fidelity to the [1611 Holy Bible](#), not weaken it.
3. Whatever *“the standard scholarly works”* are, the EFFECT of following them is to become a Bible-rejecting destructive critic. I prefer to remain a Bible believer.

8.2.2 ***“You have an attitude which is constantly looking for papal plots, which repeatedly attributes the lowest possible motives to textual critics and translators”***

1. It is a realistic attitude. In addition to the works by Edmond Paris and Avro Manhattan, the reader should consult:

Jesuit Plots from Elizabethan to Modern Times Albert Close, The Protestant Truth Society, London

The Babington Plot J. E. C. Shepherd, Wittenburg Publications, Toronto

The British Monarchy and the See of Rome Michael McCarthy, The Protestant Truth Society, London

Is Alberto for Real? Sid Hunter, Chick Publications, Chino, California, a vindication of the testimony of the late Dr Alberto Rivera, ex Jesuit priest

All Roads Lead to Rome? Michael de Semlyen, Dorchester House Publications, Bucks.

Codeword Barbêlôn P. D. Stuart, Lux-Verbi Books

2. Academia has yet to show that papal plots aimed at overthrowing the word of God and replacing it with the authority of Rome are not in operation even now.

8.2.3 “*You do not take into account evidence that is inconvenient*”

This charge is false. Chapters 1 to 7 constitute a summary document but even then it originally ran to over 60 A4 pages of text and figures. I have included evidence which is essential, with references which the reader can check. In doing so I have sought to maintain the right balance, which shows that the evidence is overwhelmingly favourable to the AV1611.

I have also included evidence which, at face value, DOES appear “*inconvenient.*” For example, Chapter 1 shows that the majority of manuscripts do not uniformly support the Text of the AV1611. There is an up to 10% discrepancy. I included the Vulgate in **Figures 2a, 2b Manuscript Dichotomy** as the basis in part of Wycliffe’s Bible^{*2012} and discussed several important AV1611 readings which are not supported by the Majority Text. Moreover, in the 100+ verses cited I included several where some modern versions have RETAINED AV1611 readings. See Chapter 7. I also mentioned differences between various editions of the AV1611. See Chapter 5.

^{*2012}See additional note on the alterations to Wycliffe’s Bible under Section 3.1.

8.2.4 “*You overlook the fact that the critics...leave so much in the text which stands in complete contradiction to their alleged purposes*”

1. Dr Ruckman (4) p 211, answers as follows: “*90% of Sinaiticus and Vaticanus...have to read with the Byzantine Family IN ORDER TO PASS OFF AS BIBLES*”. Gail Riplinger (12) p 499, states: “*a large part of even new versions must contain the traditional bible readings in order to be sold as ‘bibles’*”.
2. 1 Corinthians 5:6 states that “**a little leaven leaveneth the whole lump.**” How many words of the ‘Divinely inspired original’ did Mother Eve have to change in order to damn the entire human race, Genesis 2:16, 17; 3:2, 3? . These observations also answer in part the excuse for the mutilation of Holy Scripture, that doctrine does not depend on one verse, etc. Spurgeon stated in his final manifesto to his students, April 1891^{*2012}: “*It is sadly common among ministers to add a word or subtract a word from the passage, or in some way debase the language of sacred writ...Our reverence for the Great Author of Scripture should forbid all mauling of His Words.*”

^{*2012}See *The Greatest Fight in the World* www.spurgeon.org/misc/gfw.htm.

“Thus saith the LORD; Stand in the court of the Lord’s house, and speak unto all the cities of Judah, which come to worship in the LORD’S house, all the words that I command thee to speak unto them; diminish not a word:” Jeremiah 26:2.

8.2.5 “*Your cartoons and diagrams (are)...nonsensical and puerile...inaccurate (and) offensive*”

1. **Figures 1 to 3** merely summarise the main aspects of manuscript evidence, Bible ancestry and the passage of church history as the Gospel moves east to west. Academia has NOT demonstrated that such an approach is inaccurate.
2. **Figure 4 A Flood of Apostasy and Revision** IS offensive, at least to Bible critics. They are meant to be. Dr Ruckman has said “*My pictures aim to find out if a man wants the truth at any cost. If he doesn’t, I aim to kick him into hell if he’s unsaved and kick him to Rome if he’s saved!*”

8.2.6 “You use irresponsible language about the text of Hitler and the IRA”

1. “**The mother of harlots and abominations of the earth**”, Revelation 17:5, the Roman Catholic church, brought Hitler and the Nazi party to power. The detailed works by Edmond Paris, *The Secret History of the Jesuits* and *The Vatican Against Europe* will amply bear this out. The corrupt text of Alexandria was and is the official text of the Roman Catholic ‘church’.
2. The work by Avro Manhattan, *Catholic Terror in Ireland*, shows the IRA to be another abomination of ‘mother church’ and hence inextricably linked to the corrupt text of Alexandria.
3. I have a photograph of Desmond Tutu preaching from a Jerusalem ‘bible’, an Alexandrian stable-mate of the NIV. This photograph is part of a ‘Christian Aid’ document compiled by several Catholic organisations agitating for the overthrow of the former legally constituted government of South Africa.. The ANC and the IRA are “*blood brothers*” in terror, providing a direct link between the IRA and the corrupt Alexandrian text. See the very informative leaflet *The IRA and the ANC*, from Open Bible Publications, Belfast. “**Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them**” Matthew 7:20.

8.2.7 “Your claims that the KJV is superior to the original Hebrew and Greek...the God breathed originals are unacceptable”

1. 7 specific verses substantiating these “*claims*” have been cited [2015 update: Numbers 33:52, Psalm 74:8, Daniel 11:38, Acts 12:4, 19:37, 2 Corinthians 2:17, Galatians 2:20]. See Chapter 5. A total of 60 examples can be obtained from Ruckman (1), Appendix 7 plus issues March, April 1989 and November 1991 of the *Bible Believers’ Bulletin*.

2. I repeat several reasons why the AV1611 is superior to “*the originals*” (29) p 118.

The AV1611:

- 2.1 can be READ, the originals CANNOT and were NEVER collated into one volume. The verse usually quoted in support of “*the God-breathed originals*,” 2 Timothy 3:16, refers to copies of the scriptures, NOT the original.
 - 2.2 has chapter and verse divisions, which even the modern translations must follow. The oldest manuscripts do NOT.
 - 2.3 has word separation so that it can be more easily understood. The oldest manuscripts do NOT.
 - 2.4 is arranged in Pre-millennial order which the Masoretic text is NOT and even though the translators were NOT Pre-millennial. Again, the modern translations must follow this order.
 - 2.5 is rhythmical and easy to memorise which Greek and Hebrew are NOT.
 - 2.6 has been responsible for the conversion of more souls than any original autograph or any copy made within 5 centuries of the original autographs.
 - 2.7 is in the universal language which Greek and Hebrew are NOT. Hebrew is spoken by approximately 1% of the world’s population. New Testament Greek is a DEAD language, not even spoken in Greece, which incidentally is one of the most spiritually impoverished nations in Europe, according to the Trinitarian Bible Society.
3. The following quotations may be of interest, the first from *John Bunyan, The Immortal Dreamer*, by W. Burgess McCreary, copyright 1928, Gospel Trumpet Company, cited in the *Bible Believers’ Bulletin*, March 1994: “A university man met Bunyan on the road near Cambridge. Said he to Bunyan, “How dare you preach, not having the original Scriptures?” “Do you have them - the copies written by the apostles and prophets?” asked Bunyan. “No,” replied the scholar. “But I have what I believe to be a true copy of the original”. “And I,” said Bun-

yan, “believe the English Bible to be a true copy too”. The second quotation is from Dr Ruckman’s *History of the New Testament Church*, Vol. 2, p 110, citing Billy Sunday:

“When the Bible [AV1611] says one thing and scholarship says another, scholarship can go plumb to the Devil!”

Despite his highly unorthodox attitude and offensive manner, “Billy Sunday saw over 1,000,000 men and women “hit the sawdust trail” in open profession of faith in our Lord Jesus Christ” according to the paper *How Great Soul winners Were Endued with Power*, Martyrs Memorial Free Presbyterian Church, Belfast.

4. It will be shown that to confine inspiration to “the God breathed originals” is actually to detract from inspiration.

8.2.8 “Your position seems to assume...the KJV is always right...This in effect means that any meaningful discussion of linguistic, textual and exegetical matters is quite impossible.”

1. The academic’s position is the same as mine, except that he insists that SCHOLARSHIP is always right and is capable of correcting the AV1611. I maintain that the AV1611 is always right and is quite able to correct the dogma of fundamental scholarship. The academic also insists that “a knowledge of what the original writers actually said” is necessary to avoid error and that “all versions must be subject to the original languages” which should be constantly consulted. Neither assertion has any scriptural foundation*²⁰¹². John 16:13 shows that it is “**the Spirit of truth**” Who guides the believer into “**all truth**”, not “the original languages”. “A knowledge of what the original writers actually said” cannot be gained unless (like Bunyan or Timothy, 2 Timothy 3:15) one has “a true copy of the original” because the ‘original’ record, Jeremiah 36:18, does not exist! There is absolutely NO scripture that limits such knowledge, first hand, to Greek and Hebrew linguists, transforming them into a special privileged ‘priest class’ who are supposed to dictate to uneducated initiates that constitute the rest of the Body of Christ. The Bible shows that the *reverse* is true, Luke 10:21, Acts 4:13!

*²⁰¹²The assertions are also in direct violation of the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9.

2. Far from being impossible, a very large amount of “meaningful discussion of linguistic, textual and exegetical matters” may take place when the AV1611 is upheld as the FINAL authority in ALL matters of faith and practice. The bibliography included in this work, bears witness to this fact. Such discussion is not only possible, it is also “**profitable**” 2 Timothy 3:16 and COMMANDED BY GOD, Deuteronomy 6:6, 7, Colossians 3:16. However, for it to take place, one must believe the scripture, John 2:22, love the scripture Psalm 119:97, 140, hear the scripture, Luke 11:28, Romans 10:17, read the scripture, Deuteronomy 17:19, Nehemiah 8:8, Isaiah 34:16, Revelation 1:3, study the scripture, Proverbs 2:1-5, Acts 17:11, 2 Timothy 2:15, memorise the scripture, Joshua 1:8, Psalm 119:9, 11, Matthew 4:4, 7, 10, Acts 2:17-21, 25-28 and meditate upon the scripture, Joshua 1:8, Psalm 1:2, 3, 119:15, 23, 48, 78, 97, 99, 148 in order to OBEY the scripture, Ezra 7:10, John 14:21, 23, James 1:22, 1 John 3:22. By its own profession Academia can do none of these things because it does not have “the God breathed originals”!

8.2.9 “If you later become open to the weight of evidence...that the case for the KJV is not as wassertight as you imagine...the results could be devastating”

1. I was in bondage to Bible-rejecting ‘fundamentalism’ for 17 years and the results WERE devastating, certainly in regard to inner peace, Biblical understanding, concern for lost souls and genuine submission to the Lord Jesus Christ.
2. As a Bible believer submitting to the BOOK for the last 17 years, I have found this to be the best defence against “**the sleight of men and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive**” Ephesians 4:14.

3. There is no “*imagination*” about the case for the AV1611 as the following pages will show. “*Imagination*” is a symptom of “*Scholarship only-ism.*” **“Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened”** Romans 1:21.
4. This criticism really means that when a Christian student caves in under the pressure applied at theological college to disbelieve the Book by which in all probability he was saved and called to the ministry, it is a great tragedy. I agree. Christian educators will have a lot to answer for at the Judgement Seat of Christ, Romans 14:10.

8.2.10 “*I am willing to explain orally my position on a number of texts*”

1. I am not interested in any academic “*position*” on these verses. I am interested only in GOD’S position and am very aware of the fact that He has vindicated the readings for the past four centuries as they stand in ANY edition of the AV1611 since 1611.
2. No amount of discussion will alter the evidence which I cited concerning the verses and which I will in this document enlarge upon, notably with respect to Luke 2:33, John 1:18, Acts 8:37, 1 Timothy 3:16 and 1 John 5:7. These verses are prominent amongst the God honoured readings in the AV1611 that academia would alter to conform to the demands of “*scholarship only-ism.*”

8.2.11 “*This version like every other must be subject to the original languages*”

1. This statement means that the Holy Bible must be made subject to the demands of linguistic scholarship, an assumption for which there is no scriptural basis whatsoever^{*2012}. See comments above. I base belief in the AV1611 as the final authority in all matters of faith and conduct upon Psalm 138:2 **“For thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name.”**

^{*2012}This assumption is also in direct violation of the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9.

2. By contrast, academia is persistently vague about WHERE it consults “*the original languages*” and about what the pure word of God is TODAY and WHERE a copy can be obtained. It cannot lay claim to any ONE book on the face of this earth as being genuinely and unequivocally THE WORD OF GOD and hence the FINAL AUTHORITY in ALL matters of faith and practice. In short, academia has NO ‘Bible.’

Acts 8v37 - Why this SHOULD be in the Bible!

Writer's Note 2016: The following note was sent to church leadership on August 28th 2011 with respect to the validity of Acts 8:37 ***“And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God”*** which a visiting speaker to the church had impugned from the church's pulpit. No reply was ever received.

Dear *****

...I am writing briefly to draw attention to a matter that I noted in Mr *****'s message last Sunday, w.r.t. Acts 8:26-40, in particular Acts 8:37.

I appreciate that in a sense, the matter is water under the bridge now but hopefully the brief points that follow will be considered should the above Acts passage, in particular Acts 8:37, be addressed in any future ministry of the church.

Mr ***** made reference to Acts 8:37 as not being in the oldest manuscripts and not part of 'the original' or words to that effect.

Had he limited his comments to the above statement, I would not have raised this matter. Any speaker can give an incorrect report, depending on the sources he consults. It's happened to me. The simple truth is that Acts 8:37 is scripture, with an unbroken testimony to its validity from the 2nd century AD onwards and God's blessing of Reformation and Revival on the Bibles that contain it, e.g. all those of the 16th century English Protestant Reformation. Even the versions that omit it imply the validity of Acts 8:37, because they don't change the verse numbering system, although it would obviously be very easy to do so in this particular instance, if their editors genuinely believed that Acts 8:37 is spurious*.

*Various objections to Acts 8:37 have been raised. I believe that it is possible to answer them all satisfactorily [2016 update: See attached information following this note].

What was real cause for concern to me (and hence this note) was the statement in the message to the effect that the passage loses nothing if Acts 8:37 is cut out because the Ethiopian clearly believed and was saved.

On the contrary, the passage loses everything with respect to Christian salvation if Acts 8:37 is lost.

Significantly, the word "believed" is lost. Without Acts 8:37, it can only be inferred that the individual "believed" anything with respect to salvation (apart from the supposed need for baptism – see comments that follow). It can equally be inferred that belief in the Lord Jesus Christ is not necessary for salvation.

Needless to say, that is a most serious error but it is a possible error if Acts 8:37 is cut out of the account.

Equally significantly, it is known why Acts 8:37 is missing from most Greek manuscripts, including the Catholic manuscripts alluded to but not identified on pages 1024, 1073 of the church bibles [2016 update: 1984 NIVs with reference to Mark 16:9-20, John 7:53-8:11].

Acts 8:37 was dropped from successive copies of Greek manuscripts by the monkish forbears of those who are now Greek Orthodox priests (as well as by the Catholic forbears), such that it is now omitted by most extant Greek manuscripts, for the majority of which the Greek Orthodox Church is the custodian, notably at St Catherine's Monastery at the foot of Mt. Sinai.

The reason is that the Greek Orthodox Church teaches that only baptism and communion are necessary for salvation, not belief on the Lord Jesus Christ. Omission of Acts 8:37 provides this church with the necessary justification for this false teaching (as with the Catholic Church, its members

don't readily "Search the scriptures" John 5:39). Once this false teaching is established, it becomes straightforward to impose infant baptism.

The Greek Orthodox manner of infant baptism is even more heinous than that of the Catholic Church. I think it amounts to ritualistic satanic child abuse. It is likely that the young women in the church who work with children would be moved to tears if they knew the details.

All of this is written up in the book *Hazardous Materials*, by Gail Riplinger, pp 745ff, ISBN 978-0-9794117-6-2.

I fully appreciate that no-one in the church is likely to be led astray by the false doctrines of infant baptism and baptism as part of salvation but, as indicated, I think that it is useful for the church to be informed of the underlying issues.

Yours in the Lord Jesus Christ

Alan O'R

2016 Update: The following information on the validity of Acts 8:37 as it stands in the AV1611 has been inserted below.

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ 'O Biblios' – The Book p 56.

Acts 8:37

“And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God” is omitted by the RV, Ne, NIV, NKJV f.n., JB, NJB, NWT.

Hills [*The King James Version Defended* 3rd Edition, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html] p 201, [*Believing Bible Study* 2nd Edition] p 197, explains that the verse is absent from most Greek manuscripts because the practice of delaying baptism following profession of faith had become common before the end of the 3rd century. However, the verse is found in uncial E (6th-7th centuries), the Old Latin (2nd century) and the Vulgate (5th century) and is cited by Irenaeus (180 AD) and Cyprian (250 AD). See also Ruckman [*Problem Texts, 2016 update: Now The “Errors” in the King James Bible* pp 333-334] p 331, [*The New ASV - Satan's Masterpiece* Dr Peter S. Ruckman] pp 19-20. Ruckman (*The Book of Acts* p 291) also cites Tertullian (2nd century), Pacian (370 AD), Ambrose and Augustine (4th century) as knowing of the verse.

Even though the verse is not in the Majority Text, Berry's Greek text supports the AV1611, indicating the familiarity of the 16th century editors with the ancient evidence in support of the verse^{*2012}.

^{*2012}Dr Mrs Riplinger in *Hazardous Materials* pp 745ff explains how Acts 8:37 was dropped from successive copies of Greek manuscripts by the monkish forbears of those who are now Greek Orthodox priests (as well as by the Catholic forbears) in order to support their false doctrine of baptismal regeneration, especially with respect to infant baptism.

See also Will Kinney's detailed article brandplucked.webs.com/articles.htm *Acts 8:37...Scripture or Not?*

The following material is included from 'O Biblios' – The Book pp 253-255 to show how **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 **“maketh the judges fools”** Job 12:17 with respect to Bible critics with particular application to Acts 8:37.

14.3 Acts 8:37

Our critic's next attack on the Holy Bible is against Acts 8:37, Section 7.3. He states that *“Uncial E of the 8th Century is the earliest known Greek MS to include this passage. It is basically a Western addition and is omitted from P45 (early 3rd Century) and the earliest uncials. The grammatical construction of the Ethiopian's confession is quite un-Lukan. There is no reason at all why scribes should have omitted this material if it had stood originally in the text. It possibly began as a marginal gloss.”*

Note that our critic gives no evidence for Acts 8:37 being “a Western addition” or originating “as a marginal gloss.” Neither does he explain why, if the reading was false, the NIV etc. retain the verse numbering sequence of the AV1611. He continues *“Prominent among those early Fathers who quote the verse are those whom you describe as the “Founding Fathers of the Roman Church”...The verse is not in the Alexandrian family or even the Byzantine! It found its way into the received text and hence into the KJV via Erasmus who...took the words from the margin of another manuscript.”*

In answer I shall quote first from Dr Hills [[The King James Version Defended 3rd Edition, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html](http://wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html)] p 201 *“As J. A. Alexander (1857) suggested, this verse, though genuine, was omitted by many scribes, “as unfriendly to the practice of delaying baptism, which had become common, if not prevalent, before the end of the 3rd century.””*

Dr Hills has advanced a good reason “why scribes should have omitted this material,” if they were not Bible believers. Our critic has overlooked this. Dr Hills continues:

“Hence the verse is absent from the majority of the Greek manuscripts. But it is present in some of them, including E (6th or 7th century). It is cited by Irenaeus (c. 180) and Cyprian (c. 250) and is found in the Old Latin and the Vulgate. In his notes Erasmus says that he took this reading from the margin of 4ap and incorporated it into the Textus Receptus.” Dr Ruckman [The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship] p 316, places E in the 8th century but in the 6th to 7th century in an earlier work [Problem Texts] p 331.

Our critic therefore adds little or nothing to the information which I summarised in Section 7.3. The difference is that Dr Hills acknowledges the graciousness of “divine providence” in supplying ALL of the New Testament from several sources, Section 9.6. By contrast, our critic seems ready to reject such providence if it did not see fit to locate a reading in the text with, in his opinion, “better credentials”. See Section 9.3.

As for the lack of the verse in particular “families”, although this classification is often used for convenience [wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter5.html *The King James Version Defended 3rd Edition,*] p 120, it is nevertheless a HOAX, Section 9.4.

In reference to the “un-Lukan” grammar of the Ethiopian's confession, why wouldn't it be “un-Lukan” if indeed it is? The man speaking was an AFRICAN. The man writing the Book of Acts was a JEW! See Romans 3:1-2. Even though our critic is referring specifically to grammar, I am reminded of Dr Hills's statement [The King James Version Defended 3rd Edition, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter6.html] p 158, *“Arguments from literary style are notoriously weak.”* I continue with Dr Ruckman [The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship] pp 236-237:

“Those who first threw (Acts 8:37) out were P45 and P74, followed by the Cult (Sinaiticus, Vaticanus, “C”, the Sahidic, and the Bohairic; and then the Harclean and Peshitta Syriac, after Origen messed with them). It is also missing from cursives 049, 056, 0142, 436, 326, 1241, 1505, 2127, 181, 81, 88 and several others.

“To offset this vast array of African scholarship produced by half-baked apostates, we have the verse, in whole or in part, in the works of Irenaeus (190 A.D.), Tertullian (200 A.D.), Cyprian (255 A.D.), Pacian (370 A.D.), Ambrose, uncial manuscript E, Old Latin manuscripts, Old Syriac manu-

scripts, plus the Armenian and Georgian translations. It is also found in cursive 629...(from) the dates of the Church Fathers listed above, we find the verse being quoted 100 to 200 YEARS BEFORE SINAITICUS OR VATICANUS WERE WRITTEN.

“So, we quote it 100 years AFTER the REVISED VERSION of Hort fell to pieces with the British Empire. (Why give up a good thing just because a destructive critic doesn’t like it?)”

Why indeed? Dr Ruckman [[Problem Texts](#)] p 331 states that Acts 8:37 “has an unbroken chain of testimony from the Old Latin (second century)...to the present time.” Reviewing the evidence therefore, one finds that Acts 8:37, like 1 John 5:7-8, fulfils at least 5 of Burgon’s 7 tests.

Cursive 629 also has 1 John 5:7-8 in its margin...no doubt also by God’s gracious provision. Our critic again resorts to misrepresentation in attacking this verse. He states “**Once again it has to be said that the idea that challenging the authenticity of this verse is to question the importance of personal salvation is utterly ludicrous.**”

I put forth no such “idea” at all in Section 7.3. What I said was “Note that Luke 23:42, John 9:35, Acts 8:37 and 9:5, 6 are all passages which deal with INDIVIDUAL SALVATION”. FIVE verses were cited, not ONE. (I could have added a sixth, Acts 16:31, where “**Christ**” is omitted by the DR, RV, NIV, JB, NJB, NWT, Ne thanks as usual to L, T, Tr, A, Section 11.4). If our critic had read my statement carefully and LOOKED AT THE VERSES, he would have seen that they deal with THE SALVATION OF INDIVIDUAL SOULS, two of whom were saved by the LORD JESUS CHRIST HIMSELF!

I was not referring to the “subject” of “personal salvation” in the abstract - of which our critic does not cite even ONE of the “**hundreds of statements**” in the New Testament that he insists deal with it, according to this section of his document. The critics obviously mutilated verses which gave specific examples of SOUL-WINNING. Whatever their “motives” in so doing - and these may have been as sincere as Eve’s, Genesis 3:6! - their ACTIONS and the RESULTS of those actions are ABOMINABLE!

Our critic then states “**Incidentally some of the manuscripts which have Acts 8:37 also have in v. 39 “the Spirit of the Lord fell upon the eunuch”** and poses the question “**Why is this not in the KJV?**”

There are at least three good reasons.

1. The AV1611 translators, being much more scholarly than the modern translators and endowed with much greater spiritual wisdom, Luke 21:15, were able to discern between the authentic reading and the false one. Lacking this discernment, the modern translators rejected BOTH readings.
2. The spurious reading in Acts 8:39 no doubt lacks number, respectability, continuity and variety of witnesses. It may also lack antiquity and the context, as defined by Burgon [[True or False? 2nd Edition David Otis Fuller, D.D.](#)] pp 264 ff, may be suspect. Typically, our critic does not state which manuscripts contain the spurious addition to Acts 8:39.
3. There are two references in the Book of Acts to the Holy Ghost falling upon individuals, Acts 10:44, 11:15. They deal with incidents in Acts 2:3, 4 and 10:44. In each case there were Jews present and the gift of TONGUES was manifested, magnifying God as a SIGN to these Jews, 1 Corinthians 1:22, Acts 2:5-11, 10:45-46, 11:17-18. In Acts 8:39 NEITHER condition applies and therefore internal considerations mitigate against the reading.

The reading therefore fails 5 TO 7 of Burgon’s tests and is therefore rightly rejected.

See [When the KJV Departs from the “Majority” Text](#) by Dr J. A. Moorman pp 60-61 for detailed listing of the witnesses for Acts 8:37 as it stands in the AV1611.

...1 Corinthians 11:24... "Take, eat...broken"...

...The versions being used for Communion appear to be a mixture as follows:

1 Corinthians 11:24:

1978, 1984 (church bibles), 2011 NIVs: "and when he had given thanks, he broke it and said, "This is my body, which is for you; do this in remembrance of me.""

NKJV: "and when He had given thanks, He broke it and said, "**Take, eat**; this is My body which is **broken** for you; do this in remembrance of Me.""

CEV, Contemporary English Version: "Then after he had given thanks, he broke it and said, "This is my body, which is **given** for you. Eat this and remember me.""

OR

NLT, New Living Translation: "and gave thanks to God for it. Then he broke it in pieces and said, "This is my body, which is **given** for you. Do this to remember me." [Further observation has shown that the NLT is the version being used for the word "given"]

The AV1611 in all its editions reads: "And when he had given thanks, he brake it, and said, **Take, eat**: this is my body, which is **broken** for you: this do in remembrance of me."

1 Corinthians 11:24 is the only place where the phrase "**is broken for you**" is found.

The 1881 RV and the other post-1881 versions listed below in fairly wide use all cut out "Take, eat" and "broken" apart from the NKJV and the CEV, NLT that change "broken" to "given."

None of the Greek Inter-linears listed below read "given" in 1 Corinthians 11:24, Nestle's 21st Edition cutting out "Take, eat" and "broken" like most of the modern versions do, including the Catholic JB, NJB and the Jehovah's Witnesses NWT, which the NIVs agree with in 1 Corinthians 11:24 in cutting out "Take, eat" and "broken."

The term "given" does match what the Lord said in Luke 22:19 "And he took bread, and gave thanks, and brake it, and gave unto them, saying, This is my body which is **given** for you: this do in remembrance of me" and Luke 22:19 is the only place where the phrase "**is given for you**" is found but note that the words "**Take, eat**" are not found in Luke 22:19. Aside from in 1 Corinthians 11:24 they are found as follows:

"And as they were eating, Jesus took bread, and blessed it, and brake it, and gave it to the disciples, and said, **Take, eat**; this is my body" Matthew 26:26.

"And as they did eat, Jesus took bread, and blessed, and brake it, and gave to them, and said, **Take, eat**: this is my body" Mark 14:22.

Matthew 26:26, Mark 14:22 do not of course include the word "**given**."

What Paul has done in 1 Corinthians 11:24 under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost has therefore been to collate all the Lord's statements in the Gospels about the communion and give further revelation by means of the word "**broken**" instead of "**given**" that directly associates communion with the manner of the crucifixion and the Lord Jesus Christ with the Messiah and the Second Advent, "**till he come**" 1 Corinthians 11:26.

"For dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked have inclosed me: they **pierced** my hands and my feet" Psalm 22:16, i.e. the skin and flesh are broken, not the bones, John 19:36 with Exodus 12:46, Psalm 34:20.

"And again another scripture saith, They shall look on him whom they **pierced**" John 19:37. Note in passing the use of the sinister New Age term "the one" instead of "him" in the NIVs in John 19:37,

denoting the false messiah of the End Times. See Gail Riplinger's book [[New Age Bible Versions](#)] Chapter 5 *The One vs. the Holy One*.

"And I will pour upon the house of David, and upon the inhabitants of Jerusalem, the spirit of grace and of supplications: and they shall look upon **me** whom they have **pierced**, and they shall mourn for him, as one mourneth for his only son, and shall be in bitterness for him, as one that is in bitterness for his firstborn" Zechariah 12:10. Note again the New Age term "the one" substituted for "me" in the NIVs.

Substitution of the word "given" for "broken" in 1 Corinthians 11:24 covers up the above revelation.

As well as being influenced by the wording of Luke 22:19, use of the word "given" in 1 Corinthians 11:24 may stem in part from the readings of the 1582 Jesuit Rheims New Testament and the later Douay-Rheims Challoner's 1749-1752 Revision:

1582 JR: "And giving thanks brake, and said: Take ye and eat, This is my body which shall be **delivered** for you: this do ye for the commemoration of me."

DR: "And giving thanks, broke and said: Take ye and eat: This is my body, which shall be **delivered** for you. This do for the commemoration of me."

"Delivered" seems to be a halfway reading between "broken" and "given" and may therefore partly explain why "given" occurs in 1 Corinthians 11:24 in a couple of the modern versions. Note that the change from "broken" to "given" and even more the cutting out of the word "broken" in 1 Corinthians 11:24 is a sop to the pope, who would not like the word "broken" directly associated with the Lord's body because the papist communion wafer (aka the little Jesus cookie, Jack Chick, *Smokescreens*) is a little round object that is administered whole, not broken.

Finally, J. A. Moorman in *Early Manuscripts and the Authorized Version*, p 124, notes that the words "Take, eat" and "broken" in 1 Corinthians 11:24 are found in the great majority of ancient sources, including extant Greek manuscripts both uncial and cursive and the Peshitta Syriac version, which is agreed to reflect a 2nd century text. The extant Old Latin copies, also agreed to have a 2nd century text, omit "Take, eat," (it is known that various copies were deliberately corrupted by ancient church writers such as Origen and Jerome) but most of them include "broken." The early Gothic version, forerunner to the English versions, includes "Take, eat" and "broken."

Prominent among the few sources that omit "Take, eat" and "broken" are P46, one of the early i.e. 3rd century papyrus manuscripts and known to be dodgy, see [[New Age Bible Versions](#)] Chapter 35 *The Earliest Manuscripts* (Gail shows that early papyri manuscripts nevertheless repeatedly read with the AV1611 against the NIVs, being mixed texts) and uncial codices Aleph Sinaiticus and B Vaticanus, the Vatican manuscript. Aleph and B, especially B, were beloved by Westcott and Hort, the two apostate Anglicans who master-minded the 1881 RV and in turn most of the NIV departures from the AV1611. See *Did The Catholic Church Give Us The Bible?* by David W. Daniels [www.chick.com/catalog/bibleversions.asp].

Hebrews 9 Part 2 p 4

6. *What must be proven before a will* takes effect (Hebrews 9:16)?* *The correct reading is ***“a testament.”*** See Dr Ruckman’s commentary *The Book of Hebrews* pp 177-184 concerning the correct reading ***“testament”*** Hebrews 9:15, 16, 17, 18, 20 versus the incorrect reading *“covenant”* found in the modern versions.

Hebrews 9:16 states ***“For where a testament is, there must also of necessity be the death of the testator.”***

Hebrews 9:17 then explains the necessity for the death of the testator.

“For a testament is of force after men are dead: otherwise it is of no strength at all while the testator liveth.”

That the Lord Jesus Christ did die was attested by:

- A Roman centurion ***“which stood over against him, saw that he so cried out, and gave up the ghost”*** Mark 15:39, 44
- A registered physician ***“having had perfect understanding of all things from the very first”*** Luke 1:3, 23:46, Colossians 4:14
- A third, independent eye witness who ***“bare record, and his record is true”*** John 19:33, 34, 35.

These are sufficient witnesses for ***“the new testament”*** Hebrews 9:15 to be ***“of force”*** Hebrews 9:17.

“In the mouth of two or three witnesses shall every word be established” 2 Corinthians 13:1.

“The Royal Law” James 2:8



“The Royal Law” James 2:8



The Queen Enthroned with “The Royal Law”

British Governance

British governance is embodied in the Coronation Oath¹. Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II undertook the Oath when she was crowned. David Gardner² explains the significance of the Oath.


“When the Sovereign is crowned, he or she is required to place one hand on the open Bible, and is then required to take a solemn oath before Almighty God ‘to uphold to the utmost of my power, the Laws of God within the Realm, and the true profession of the Christian Gospel.’ Parliament, through its peers, pledges itself to support the sovereign in this. This is the British position constitutionally.”

It still is, as shown below, regardless of how much it has been violated in practice or by whom.

The Coronation Oath

The monarch-to-be is seated upon the Chair of Estate in Westminster Abbey. The Archbishop of Canterbury gives the Coronation Oath for the monarch’s enthronement. The Oath states in part:

Archbishop: *Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof as by law established in England? And will you reserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them of any of them?*



The Coronation Bible and Title Page

Queen: *“All this I promise to do.”*

The Oath is sealed with the King James Bible³, presented to the monarch. The presenter at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation was the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, with these words. *“Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom [Revelation 13:18]; This is the royal Law [James 2:8]; These are the lively Oracles of God [Acts 7:38, Romans 3:2, Hebrews 5:12, 1 Peter 4:11].”*

The King James Bible used for the Coronation contains the Apocrypha but the Apocrypha is *not* part of “the royal law.” See figure **The Coronation Bible and Title Page**.

“The Royal Law”

James 2:8 states ***“If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well:”*** ***“The royal law”*** and ***“the scripture”*** are each ***“the whole law”*** James 2:10 and the Coronation Oath is unequivocal that the King James Bible is ***“the royal law”*** for ***“the Rule for the whole life and government of”*** Her Majesty and her subjects. In turn, nothing is above the King James Bible ***“for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name”*** Psalm 138:2.

“The royal law” states in Numbers 15:16* with respect to Great Britain and the Old Dominions that:

“One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you.”

*To Israel first but not rescinded for other nations by Paul, the author of specific Christian doctrine

Numbers 15:16 means that for governance of Britain’s inhabitants by ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611:

- Criticism of the ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Hostility towards Israel and/or the Jewish people is treason against God and the Crown.
- Catholicism by its hatred of ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Britain’s membership of the papal European Union is treason against God and the Crown.
- Entry of foreigners alien to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Mohammedanism and all non-Biblical religions are treason against God and the Crown.
- Secular belief systems e.g. Darwinism, Marxism etc. are treason against God and the Crown.
- ***“Whoremongers...them that defile themselves with mankind...menstealers...liars...perjured persons”*** 1 Timothy 1:10 ***“and all that do unrighteously, are an abomination unto the LORD thy God”*** Deuteronomy 25:16 and traitors to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611, God and the Crown.

The Coronation Oath has been repeatedly violated since the Coronation and it still is. However, as Rev Gardner states, the Oath is ***“a solemn oath before Almighty God”*** so God the Offended Party must punish the violators.

God the Offended Party

Men in scripture are likened to trees. ***“And he looked up, and said, I see men as trees, walking”*** Mark 8:24.

God promises a judgement by fire in the End Times. ***“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD”*** Ezekiel 39:6.



The Fire of Jeremiah

“The isles” and ***“trees, walking”*** are easily identified.

Jeremiah 21:14 is therefore a grim warning for Britain.

“...I will punish you according to the fruit of your doings, saith the LORD: and I will kindle a fire in the forest thereof, and it shall devour all things round about..”

Proverbs 13:13 is a further warning, though with ***“mercy...against judgment”*** James 2:13: ***“Whoso despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandment shall be rewarded.”***

Britain *must* therefore regain her only firebreak ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 to receive mercy when God’s End Times judgement by fire finally descends ***“that the whole nation perish not”*** John 11:50.

Seven Aspects of ‘in the Greek’

Based on Dr Donald Waite and *The DBS* [Dean Burgon Society], *Dead Bible Society* pp 32-34

1. No single, definitive Greek text exists⁴. As Gail Riplinger shows, “**in the Greek**” Revelation 9:11 is “**upon the sand**” Matthew 7:26 and “**ready to fall**” Isaiah 30:13 with “**none to help**” Psalm 107:12.
2. Koine i.e. New Testament Greek is a dead language. The DBS⁵ admits “**Biblical Greek is a dead language**” but 1 Peter 1:23 says “**The word of God...liveth and abideth for ever.**” So “**the word of God**” cannot be “**in the Greek.**” Moreover, neither 1600’s writers like Shakespeare nor Greek philosophers can dictate Bible word meanings or usage. Dr Hills⁶ states.

“The English of the King James Version is not the English of the early 17th century. To be exact, it is not a type of English that was ever spoken anywhere. It is biblical English, which was not used on ordinary occasions even by the translators who produced the King James Version...Even in their use of thee and thou the translators were not following 17th-century English usage but biblical usage, for at the time these translators were doing their work these singular forms had already been replaced by the plural you in polite conversation.”

David W. Norris⁷ states:

“Shakespeare certainly knew how to use English, but he also knew how to be vulgar, suggestive, and anything but pure-minded in his writing. Rather than being so much influenced itself by the language around it, the Authorised Version has given to the English language many words, phrases, and proverbs...[it has] had an impact on English prose that remains to this day.

*“The 1611 Bible was never the ‘modern version’ of its day. The Authorised Version possesses its own unique English. It gave to English far more than it took from it...Bible words must be defined for us by the way they are used in the Bible itself. Scripture is its own lexicon [see *The Language of the King James Bible* and *In Awe of Thy Word*, Parts 1-4, both by Dr Mrs Riplinger]...It is for preachers of the Word to explain and expound these words according to their very specific biblical usage, which will often be different from their secular use. For example, dikaiosune is translated ‘righteousness’ in our Authorised Version, but in English translations of the Greek philosopher, Plato, the same word is translated ‘justice’. Dikaiosune when used in Scripture means to be right before God, to be as we ought before God, to stand in a right relationship to Him. Used in Plato, it means to be right with our fellowmen, to be as we ought with other men. In Scripture, the word is directed towards God, in Plato towards men.”*

“In the Greek” – Once Only in Scripture!



“The angel of the bottomless pit...in the Greek tongue hath his name Apollyon”

Revelation 9:11 (!)

Plato leavens the 1984 NIV in Acts 17:31, Romans 3:25, 26, Hebrews 11:33, Revelation 19:11, where **“righteousness”** is changed to **“justice.”** The 2011 NIV has **“righteousness”** in Romans 3:25, 26 but retains **“justice”** where **“righteousness”** is **“through faith”** Hebrews 11:33 and where God **“will judge the world”** Acts 17:11 and **“judge and make war”** against it Revelation 19:11. **“Sinners...are afraid”** Isaiah 33:14 of that **“righteousness”** and would prefer Plato!

3. Koine Greek was a stage in the development of the scriptures, Psalm 12:6, 7, with God bringing forth vernacular Bibles in many languages⁸; Latin, Syriac, Gothic, German, English etc. However, Koine Greek is now history, as Dr Mrs Riplinger explains⁹, this writer’s emphases.

“The desire to appear intelligent or superior by referring to ‘the Greek’ and downplaying the common man’s Bible, exposes a naivety concerning textual history and those documents which today’s pseudo-intellectuals call ‘the critical text,’ ‘the original Greek,’ the ‘Majority Text,’ or the ‘Textus Receptus.’ There existed a true original Greek (i.e. Majority Text, Textus Receptus). It is not in print and never will be, because it is unnecessary. No one on the planet speaks first century Koine Greek, so God is finished with it. He needs no ‘Dead Bible Society’ to translate it into “everyday English,” using the same corrupt secularised lexicons used by the TNIV, NIV, NASB and HCSB [Holman Christian Standard Bible]. God has not called readers to check his Holy Bible for errors. He has called his Holy Bible to check us for errors.”

4. Paul never said go to ‘the Greek’ for what God ‘really’ said. **“Except ye utter by the tongue words easy to be understood, how shall it be known what is spoken?”** 1 Corinthians 14:9.
5. Few can master Koine Greek. They risk becoming ‘Protestant popes,’ **“highminded”** 2 Timothy 3:4, like 33rd Degree Royal Arch Masons, i.e. only those taught ‘the (Greek) mysteries’ know what God ‘really’ said, *which violates the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9 and is lording it over the laity, “the doctrine of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate”* Revelation 2:15.
6. Even the Greeks don’t understand ‘the Greek’! Bro. Brent Logan is a KJB Baptist missionary to Thessaloniki, Greece. He has said to this writer:

“The TR (Koine) Greek is not used in Greece. Modern Greek (Dimotiki) is several steps away from Koine. Some use the older Katharevousa Greek which is between Koine and Dimotiki, but this is still 19th century Greek. Most do not even understand Katharevousa. I have heard that there may be some Orthodox priests that chant the Koine as liturgy without knowing what it means but have never confirmed this. Any exception would prove the rule. Greek people today do not have nor understand Koine.”

Why should English-speaking believers be subject to a language for **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 that not even Greeks understand? As Paul says of **“false brethren...who came in privily to spy out our liberty which we have in Christ Jesus, that they might bring us into bondage: To whom we gave place by subjection, no, not for an hour”** Galatians 2:4-5.

7. The expression “in the Greek” occurs only once in scripture, Revelation 9:11 (!) in relation to “Apollyon” and “the bottomless pit.” That is where ‘Greekiolatry’ comes from. The Lord Jesus Christ said **“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away”** Matthew 24:35. ‘The Greek’ is long gone **“But the word is very nigh unto thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it”** Deuteronomy 30:14.

The AV1611 is that word, **“the word of faith, which we preach”** Romans 10:8.

Amen.

The 1611 Holy Bible and Churchillian Declaration to Unrighteous Men

To: *“men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness”* Romans 1:17

From: *All who “Hold fast the form of sound words, which thou hast heard of me, in faith and love which is in Christ Jesus”* 2 Timothy 1:13

Date: *“till I come”* Revelation 2:25

Subject: *“Their poison is like the poison of a serpent”* Psalm 58:4



news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2007/12/photogalleries/biggest-cobra/

*“They have sharpened their tongues like a serpent;
adders’ poison is under their lips. Selah”*

Psalm 140:3

**Therefore for any and all under the banner of
“My name is Legion: for we are many” Mark 5:9:**

“You Do Your Worst and We Will Do Our Best”

Winston S. Churchill, July 14th 1941

www.winstonchurchill.org/resources/speeches/1941-1945-war-leader/you-do-your-worst-and-we-will-do-our-best

[The Right Honourable](#)
[Sir Winston Churchill](#)
[KG, OM, CH, TD, DL, FRS, RA](#)



[Prime Minister of the United Kingdom](#)
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Winston_Churchill


“Then did I beat them small as the dust before the wind” Psalm 18:42 any and all:

“We ask no favours of the enemy. We seek from them no compunction...Where you have been the least resisted there you have been the most brutal...We will have no truce or parley with you, or the grisly gang who work your wicked will. You do your worst - and we will do our best. Perhaps it may be our turn soon; perhaps it may be our turn now...” It is:

“For they have sown the wind, and they shall reap the whirlwind...” Hosea 8:7



Reaping the Whirlwind
the-ten.blogspot.co.uk/2013/07/reaping-whirlwind.html



“And I will scatter toward every wind all that are about him to help him, and all his bands; and I will draw out the sword after them” Ezekiel 12:14



mynameismimi-c.blogspot.co.uk/

www.stretcherbearers.com/Gallery/Sword.html

“for the sword of the LORD shall devour from the one end of the land even to the other end of the land: no flesh shall have peace” Jeremiah 12:12

References

- ¹ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_the_British_monarch, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_Queen_Elizabeth_II
- ² *The Trumpet Sounds for Britain*, Volume 1 by David E. Gardner, Chapter 3, www.electronic-bibles.co.uk/jesusalive/trumpet.html
- ³ *This England* petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html
- ⁴ *Hazardous Materials, Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers*, by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2008, Introduction
- ⁵ *Cleaning Up Hazardous Materials* by Kirk DiVietro, The Dean Burgon Society, 2010, pp 139-140
- ⁶ *The King James Version Defended* by Edward F. Hills, Chapter 8, wilderness-cry.net/bible_study/books/kjv-defended/chapter8.html
- ⁷ *The Big Picture* by David W. Norris, Authentic Word, 2004, pp 372, 384-385
- ⁸ *Hidden History of The English Scriptures* by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2011
- ⁹ *In Awe of Thy Word* by Gail Riplinger, A. V. Publications, 2011, 2003, p 956